



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

|  |             |                       |  |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NUMBER                     | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                          |
| 10/814,125<br>10/814,194<br>10/814,195 | 4/1/04      | Frostegord            | EPCL: 0101US<br>EPCL: 0111US<br>EPCL: 0121US |
|  |             | EXAMINER              |  |
|  |             | Lisa Cook             |  |
|  |             | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER                                 |
|  |             | 1641                  | 11/15/06                                     |

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Steven L. Highlander (37,642)  (3) Lisa Cook (examiner)  
(2) Long Le Y Spe  (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 11/15/06

Type:  Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description: Fox filed 11/13/06 - with claim modifications

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed:

Identification of prior art discussed: Non-final actions of record. Specifically references to Borquiner et. al., muzyaq et. al., Baldo et. al., and Ostermann et. al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Specifically applicant argued that the primary reference to Borquiner et. al. taught away from the invention showing results different from the claimed antibody PAF measurements of record (claimed). Accordingly the reference of Borquiner et. al. will be removed from the rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Muzyaq et. al. exhibited skewed results with respect to PAF<sub>PC</sub>. For examiner consideration

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Lisa Cook  
11/15/06